Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. April 25, 2014
(DOI: will be inserted by hand later)

Three new X-ray emitting sdO stars discovered with *Chandra*

N. La Palombara[1], P. Esposito[1], S. Mereghetti[1], A. Tiengo[1,2,3]

[1] INAF, Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica - Milano, via Bassini 15, I-20133, Milano, Italy
[2] IUSS-Istituto Universitario di Studi Superiori, piazza della Vittoria 15, I-27100 Pavia, Italy
[3] Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia, via A. Bassi 6, I-27100 Pavia, Italy

the date of receipt and acceptance should be inserted later

arXiv:1404.6092v1 [astro-ph.HE] 24 Apr 2014

Abstract. *Context.* X-ray observations of sdO stars are a useful tool to investigate their properties, but so far only two sdO stars were detected at X-rays.
Aims. We aimed to perform the first systematic search for X-ray emission from sdO stars to characterize the X-ray emission from single and binary sdO stars.
Method. We observed a complete flux-limited sample of 19 sdO stars with the *Chandra* HRC-I camera to measure the count rate of the detected sources or to set a tight upper limit on it for the undetected sources.
Results. We obtained a robust detection of BD+37° 1977 and Feige 34 and a marginal detection of BD+28° 4211. The estimated luminosity of BD+37° 1977 is above 10^{31} erg s^{-1}, which is high enough to suggest the possible presence of an accreting compact companion. This possibility is unlikely for all the other targets (both detected and undetected), since in their case $L_{\rm X} \lesssim 10^{30}$ erg s^{-1}. On the other hand, for all 19 targets the estimated value of $L_{\rm X}$ (or its upper limit) implies an X-ray/bolometric flux ratio that agrees with $\log(L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol}) = -6.7 \pm 0.5$, which is the range of values typical of main-sequence and giant O stars.
Conclusions. The observing campaign performed by *Chandra* has discovered three new X-ray emitting sdO stars; for one of them the observed X-ray flux might be emitted by an accreting compact companion, while for the other two stars it is most probably due to intrinsic emission. The same is possibile for the 16 undetected stars.

Key words. stars: subdwarfs - stars: individual: BD+37° 1977, Feige 34, BD+28° 4211 - X-rays: binaries - X-rays: stars

1. Introduction

Hot subdwarf (sd) stars are blue low-mass stars, commonly located at high Galactic latitudes, which are at an advanced evolutionary phase; they have already lost most of their hydrogen envelope and are now burning their helium core (see Heber 2009 for a review). Based on their spectral properties, hot sd stars are classified into two different types: the cooler B-type subdwarfs (sdB), which have $T_{\rm eff} < 40{,}000$ K and usually display no or weak helium lines in their spectra, and the hotter O-type subdwarfs (sdO), which have $T_{\rm eff} > 40{,}000$ K and, in most cases, are helium rich (Hirsch et al. 2008). While the sdB stars form a rather homogeneous group, the class of sdO stars is very heterogeneus: they cover a wide range of effective temperatures ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 40–100 kK), surface gravities ($\log(g)$ = 4–6.5), and helium abundances (Heber & Jeffery 1992; Heber et al. 2006). Therefore, sdO stars can be subdivided into He-poor and He-rich, according to the atmospheric abundances, and into 'luminous' and 'compact', depending on their low or high values of $\log(g)$, respectively (Napiwotzki 2008b).

The two classes of sd stars are very different also with respect to their origin. The sdB stars belong to the extreme horizontal branch (EHB) stars (Heber 1986): unlike normal HB stars, they evolve directly to the white-dwarf cooling sequence after the core helium exhaustion, without ascending the asymptotic giant branch (AGB), since their hydrogen envelope is too thin to sustain hydrogen burning. The origin of sdO stars is more complex. The luminous He-poor stars are low-mass post-AGB stars, while the compact ones are post-EHB stars and very probably are descendant of sdB stars. It is more difficult to explain the origin of the He-rich sdO stars. The luminous ones are on the post-AGB track, while the compact ones cannot evolve from sdB stars: in their case the most probable formation mechanism is either the merging of two He-core or C/O-core white dwarfs (Iben 1990; Saio & Jeffery 2000, 2002) or the so-called *late hot-flasher* scenario (Brown et al. 2001).

Radial velocity surveys of sd stars have shown that a high percentage (> 40 %) of the cool sdB stars occur in close binary systems (Maxted et al. 2001; Napiwotzki et al. 2004; Morales-Rueda et al. 2006), while the binary fraction of sdO stars is much lower (Napiwotzki 2008a): therefore, binary evolution can play an important role in the formation of subdwarf stars, particularly in that of sdBs. Three mechanisms have been identified to form subdwarf stars, starting from systems with stellar components (Han et al. 2002, 2003): 1)

one or two phases of common-envelope and spiral-in evolution; 2) one or two phases of stable Roche-lobe overflow; and (3) the merger of two helium-core white dwarfs. Moreover, a common-envelope phase involving a substellar companion has been suggested as a possible formation scenario (Soker 1998; Charpinet et al. 2011). In some cases the final outcome is the formation of a binary system with a subdwarf star and a compact companion; usually, the compact companion of the hot subdwarf is expected to be a white dwarf (WD), but if the mass of the original stars is large enough, a neutron star (NS) or black hole (BH) companion can be formed.

The observation of hot subdwarfs at X-ray wavelengths can be a very useful tool to investigate their properties. First of all, they can be characterized by intrinsic X-ray emission. In fact, main-sequence, giant and supergiant early-type (O and B) stars are a well-known class of soft X-ray sources, with $L_{\rm X} \sim 10^{31} - 10^{32}$ erg s^{-1}. Their X-ray emission is attributed to turbulence and shocks in their strong winds (Lucy & White 1980), and the X-ray and the bolometric luminosities are linked by the canonical relation $L_{\rm X} \sim 10^{-7} \times L_{\rm bol}$ (Pallavicini et al. 1981; Sciortino et al. 1990; Güdel & Nazé 2009). Although the hot subdwarfs are characterized by lower luminosities ($\log(L_{\rm bol}/L_{\odot}) \lesssim 4$ instead of 5–6), they can have winds with mass losses of up to 10^{-8} and 10^{-10} M$_{\odot}$ y^{-1} for sdO and sdB stars, respectively (Hamann 2010; Jeffery & Hamann 2010). Therefore, hot sd stars might also be X-ray emitters of the same type, and it is interesting to investigate whether the above average relation extends to such low luminosities as well. In addition, the observation of X-ray emission could indicate the presence of a compact companion that accretes matter from the subdwarf wind: in this case the measured X-ray luminosity can provide useful information on the binary orbit and the mass-loss rate from the subdwarf star.

A Swift/XRT search for X-ray emission from candidate sdB+WD/NS binaries, selected from optical spectroscopy and photometry (Geier et al. 2010), gave negative results (Mereghetti et al. 2011), probably because of the weak winds of sdB stars, which are unable to provide a high enough accretion rate. On the other hand, the only two sdO stars for which pointed X-ray observations are available both showed a clear X-ray emission.

The first case is HD 49798, which is the brightest (V = 8) sdO star and is known since 1970 as a single-lined spectroscopic binary (Thackeray 1970; Stickland & Lloyd 1994). The compact nature of its companion, undetected in the optical/UV, was indicated by the presence of soft X-ray emission with a periodicity of 13.18 s (Israel et al. 1997). A long *XMM-Newton* observation allowed us to constrain the orbital parameters and the X-ray spectrum and luminosity ($L_{\rm X} \simeq 3 \times 10^{31} (d/650$ pc)^2 erg s^{-1}) and to establish that the most likely companion is a WD (Mereghetti et al. 2009). The X-ray flux from HD 49798 does not disappear completely when the X-ray pulsar is eclipsed by the sdO star. The spectrum observed during the eclipse shows emission lines of H- and He-like nitrogen, an overabundant element in HD 49798. This emission could result from reprocessing of the accretion-powered X-rays in the sdO wind, but also from HD 49798 iteself (Mereghetti et al. 2013). Its value of $L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol} \sim 10^{-7}$ agrees with the average value found in main-sequence early-type stars.

The second sdO star detected in X-rays is the bright He-rich star BD+37° 442, which has stellar parameters very similar to those of HD 49798. Like HD 49798, it shows P-Cygni UV line profiles indicating wind mass-loss at a rate of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ $M_{\odot}$ yr^{-1} (Jeffery & Hamann 2010), but no evidence of binary nature was reported from optical/UV photometry and spectroscopy. We recently observed it with *XMM-Newton* and discovered soft X-ray emission, with a spectrum similar to that of HD 49798, and pulsations at 19.16 s, indicating that BD+37° 442 is also a binary with a NS or WD companion (La Palombara et al. 2012). The luminosity is in the range $10^{32} - 10^{35}$ erg s^{-1} (for a source distance of 2 kpc), consistent with wind accretion onto a WD or, more likely, onto a NS. However, optical observations show no variations in the radial velocity of BD+37° 442 and, hence, there is no dynamical evidence for the existence of a compact companion yet (Heber et al. 2014).

Prompted by our results on HD 49798 and BD+37° 442, we planned a survey with *Chandra* HRC-I of a complete flux-limited sample of sdO stars. Our aim was to perform the first systematic search for X-ray emission from this type of stars to characterize the X-ray emission from single and binary sdO stars. In §2 we present the sample of the selected sources and the adopted observing strategy; in §3 we describe the observations, the data reduction, and the results; finally, in §4 we briefly discuss these results and compare them with those obtained for HD 49798 and BD+37° 442.

2. Source sample and observing strategy

In Table 2 we report our sample of selected sources, which consists of all the subdwarfs of O spectral type brighter than V = 12. They are characterized by high effective temperatures ($T_{\rm eff} \gtrsim$ 40,000 K) and by a wide range of surface gravity ($\log(g)$ = 4–6.5); therefore, the selected sample includes both luminous (low-gravity) and compact (high-gravity) stars. For comparison, we also report in Table 2 the parameters of HD 49798 and BD+37° 442. For each source we estimated the bolometric correction using the relation BC = 27.66 - 6.84×log($T_{\rm eff}$), which holds for O-type stars (Vacca et al. 1996); then we used it to obtain the bolometric flux and luminosity. However, the temperature estimates of the hot subdwarf stars can be affected by substantial uncertainties. This is well known for sdB stars (e.g. Green et al. 2011) and is proving to be the case also for sdO stars. For example, for BD+37° 442 Heber et al. (2014) reported $T_{\rm eff} \simeq 56$ kK instead of the 48 kK estimated by Jeffery & Hamann (2010). At any rate, this temperature difference implies a variation of less than 0.5 in the bolometric correction and, hence, of 50 % at most in the bolometric luminosity. None of the selected sources was previously observed with sensitive X-ray telescopes. They were not detected in the ROSAT All Sky Survey (RASS), which has a typical sensitivity of a few 10^{-13} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} assuming

a soft spectrum (e.g. a blackbody with kT = 50 eV)[1]. All but one of our targets are closer than 1 kpc, which implies a low interstellar absorption; considering the expected soft spectrum, this is an important advantage for their detection in X rays. The only exception is BD+37° 1977, which stands out among the other sources: it is located much farther away than the other stars (d $\simeq$ 2.6 kpc) and its intrinsic luminosity is much higher ($\log(L_{\rm bol}/L_{\odot})$ = 4.37). We note that this source is spectroscopically very similar to BD+37° 442 (Jeffery & Hamann 2010), therefore it is very interesting to investigate whether it is characterized also by a similar X-ray emission as well.

We decided to observe our targets with the *Chandra* HRC-I camera, since it has high efficiency at low energies and excellent spatial resolution, which implies a negligible background level in the small source-extraction region. Therefore, it provides the highest signal-to-noise ratio for the soft spectrum expected for these sources; in addition, the high spatial resolution makes it possible to minimize the source confusion.

3. Observations, data reduction and results

The sources reported in Table 2 were observed with *Chandra* HRC-I between March and October 2013; in Table 1 we report the main parameters of the observations. In most cases the effective exposure time was about 4.1 ks; the main exception is LSS 1275, for which the telemetry saturation produced by the high level of the instrumental background reduced the exposure time to only 1.1 ks. We used version 4.5 of the *CIAO* (Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations)[2] pipeline to reduce and analyse the data. For each source we reprocessed the data and accumulated the HRC image of the observed field-of-view. Then we extracted the source counts within a circular region with 2 arcsec radius, centred on the optical position of the star. For the background evaluation we extracted counts from an annular region around the source, with an inner and outer radius of 10 and 20 arcsec, respectively. We used the `ChaRT/MARX`[3] package to reproduce the photon distribution on the focal plane due to the telescope *Point Spread Function* (PSF) and to evaluate which PSF fraction is covered by the two extraction regions. Then we used the *CIAO* task *eprates* to measure the background- and PSF- corrected source count rates (CR) and their uncertainties.

For the sources detected at a confidence level higher than 3 σ, in Table 2 we report the CR value; in the other cases we report the 3-σ upper limit. We obtained a robust detection (*signal-to-noise* ratio S/N $\simeq$ 4) only for BD+37° 1977 and Feige 34; in addition, a marginal detection (S/N $\simeq$ 3) can be claimed for BD+28° 4211. The CR of all other sources is consistent with 0 at a 3-σ c.l. The detected CRs, or their upper limits for the undetected sources, are at level of a few 10^{-3} c s^{-1}; the only exception is LSS 1275, for which the higher upper-limit value ($\simeq 10^{-2}$ c s^{-1}) is due to the larger CR uncertainty resulting from the shorter observing time. Since the HRC-I camera has essentially no energy resolution, no spectral study can be performed. To estimate the flux corresponding to the measured count rate or to its upper limit, we considered two different types of spectrum as representative of two physical origins of the source emission:

- for the hypothesis of emission from the subdwarf itself, we considered the spectrum observed for HD 49798 during its eclipse phase, that is, a power law with photon index Γ = 1.9 (Mereghetti et al. 2013);
- for the hypothesis that emission is caused by accretion onto a compact companion, we considered the soft spectrum observed for HD 49798 out of its eclipse phase, that is, the sum of a blackbody component with kT = 30 eV, which provides the bulk of the source flux, and of a power-law component with Γ = 2, which dominates above 0.5 keV (Mereghetti et al. 2013).

In both cases we used the *WebPIMMs*[4] tool to evaluate the CR-to-flux conversion factor (in the energy range 0.2-10 keV). To convert the flux into luminosity, we assumed a reasonable distance for the two stars without any distance estimate (LS V +22 38 and LS I +63 198) by comparing them with sources characterized by similar values of magnitude and surface effective temperature. We note that the source fluxes corresponding to the detected count rates (or to their upper limits for the undetected sources) are a few 10^{-14} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1}, which is very near the sensitivity for which the observation campaign was originally planned.

Table 1. Main parameters of the observations performed by *Chandra*.

Target name	ObsID	Start Time	Livetime (s)
BD+37° 1977	14546	2013-09-29T01:56:21	4101
BD+75° 325	14547	2013-04-21T19:29:14	3763
BD+25° 4655	14548	2013-05-17T03:37:10	4139
BD-22° 3804	14549	2013-05-22T05:50:52	4153
BD+39° 3226	14550	2013-06-09T09:30:14	4149
BD-03° 2179	14551	2013-09-07T22:53:24	4147
BD+28° 4211	14552	2013-05-17T02:15:40	4130
CD-31 4800	14553	2013-04-13T23:32:30	3932
BD+48° 1777	14554	2013-10-10T19:16:39	4101
LS V +22 38	14555	2013-09-07T21:14:34	4112
LS IV -12 1	14556	2013-07-12T03:54:51	4153
Feige 34	14557	2013-10-10T12:37:52	4148
LS I +63 198	14558	2013-03-11T21:21:55	4147
LSS 1275	14559	2013-03-17T05:51:29	1107
LSE 153	14560	2013-07-26T23:54:40	4100
LSE 21	14561	2013-09-02T08:57:12	4143
LSE 263	14562	2013-03-03T17:25:37	3762
BD+18° 2647	14563	2013-03-20T12:43:09	4096
LS IV +10 9	14564	2013-07-16T19:28:06	4101

[1] For comparison, HD 49798 (which has $f_{\rm X} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} out of the eclipse) was clearly detected in the RASS, while BD+37° 442, which is about one order of magnitude fainter, was not.

[2] http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/

[3] http://asc.harvard.edu/chart/runchart.html

[4] http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html

4. Discussion

Our *Chandra* survey resulted in the discovery of X-ray emission from three sdO stars. The brightest new source is BD+37° 1977, a luminous ($\log(g) = 4$) and He-rich sdO star (Jeffery & Hamann 2010) similar to HD 49798 and BD+37° 442, the only sdO stars previously known as X-ray sources. The other newly detected subdwarfs, Feige 34 and BD+28° 4211, are instead compact ($\log(g) > 6$) He-poor stars (Thejll et al. 1991; Zanin & Weinberger 1997). The upper limits derived for the X-ray luminosity of most[5] undetected sources ($L_X \lesssim 10^{30}$ erg s^{-1}) are more constraining than those previously available and make accreting compact companions unlikely. The corresponding values of the X-ray/bolometric luminosity ratio agree with those observed for main-sequence, giant, and supergiant O-type stars (Nazé 2009), which means that the undetected sdO might have a similar intrinsic X-ray emission as well.

The large distance of BD+37° 1977 (d = 2.6 kpc) implies a luminosity $L_{\rm X} > 10^{31}$ erg s^{-1}, significantly higher than that of the other two new sources. This luminosity is similar to those estimated for BD+37° 442 and for the out-of-eclipse phase of HD 49798, which suggests that BD+37° 1977 might also have an accreting compact companion. On the other hand, the bolometric luminosity of BD+37° 1977 is higher than that of the other stars: $L_{\rm bol} \simeq 10^{38}$ erg s^{-1} (Jeffery & Hamann 2010), which implies $\log(L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol}) \simeq -6.3$. This is within the range of values (–6.7±0.5) obtained by Nazé (2009) for the single O-type stars observed by *XMM-Newton*; moreover, a luminosity ratio within the same range would be obtained for any value of $T_{\rm eff}$ higher than the estimated one. We also note that BD+37° 1977 is an extreme helium star with a significant stellar wind. By fitting the P-Cygni and asymmetric profiles of C, N, and Si ultraviolet resonance lines, which were obtained with high-resolution ultraviolet and optical spectra, Jeffery & Hamann (2010) estimated a mass-loss rate $\dot{M} \simeq 10^{-8.2} M_\odot$ yr^{-1} and a terminal wind velocity $v_\infty \simeq 2{,}000$ km s^{-1}. Therefore, it is also plausible that the observed X-ray flux is produced by the wind internal shocks of the star itself. The comparison of the infrared flux of BD+37° 1977 with that estimated on the basis of its $T_{\rm eff}$ indicates an excess at a low (2-σ) confidence level (Ulla & Thejll 1998); therefore, it is highly unlikely that a normal companion contributes to the X-ray flux.

The X-ray luminosity of Feige 34 is $\sim 10^{30}$ erg s^{-1}, which is at least one order of magnitude lower than that of BD+37° 1977. Compared with this, Feige 34 is significantly hotter ($T_{\rm eff} \simeq 70$ kK) and has a much higher surface gravity ($\log(g) = 7.3$); on the other hand, for this star Thejll et al. (1995) estimated a similar mass-loss rate ($\dot{M} \simeq 10^{-7.5} M_\odot$ yr^{-1}). Its bolometric luminosity is relatively low ($\log(L_{\rm bol}/L_\odot) = 2.6$) and implies a bolometric/X-ray ratio $\log(L_{\rm X,1}/L_{\rm bol}) = -6.2$: this agrees with the typical values obtained for normal O-type stars, unless the actual value of $T_{\rm eff}$ is significantly lower than the estimated one. These results suggest that the observed X-ray flux is generated by the internal shocks in the star wind, although the possibility of a low accretion rate onto a compact companion cannot be rejected. We finally note that Feige 34 shows an infrared excess at a 3-σ level, which can be attributed to a companion star of spectral type M2 (Thejll et al. 1995; Ulla & Thejll 1998). Considering the optical luminosity expected for this type of stars, the observed X-ray flux implies $-3 \lesssim \log(f_{\rm X}/f_{\rm V}) \lesssim -2$. Since this value is in the range expected for M stars (Krautter et al. 1999), it would be important to assess whether there really is a late-type companion that might account for (or contribute to) the observed X-ray flux.

The small and well-constrained distance of BD+28° 4211, together with the low detected count rate implies a very low luminosity ($\sim 10^{28}$ erg s^{-1}). It is about two orders of magnitude below that of Feige 34, although the two stars are rather similar: BD+28° 4211 is also hot ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 82 kK), has a high surface gravity ($\log(g) = 6.2$), and a relatively low bolometric luminosity ($\log(L_{\rm bol}/L_\odot \simeq 2$). Its bolometric/X-ray ratio is low ($\log(L_{\rm X,1}/L_{\rm bol}) = -7.1$), at the lower end of the range valid for O-type stars: this result supports an intrinsic origin of the X-ray emission, although no evidence of significant stellar wind has been reported for BD+28° 4211. We also note that this star has a faint companion star at an angular separation of 2.8 arcsec (Massey & Gronwall 1990); but the spatial resolution of the *Chandra* images is high enough to exclude any contribution of this star to the detected X-ray flux.

5. Conclusions

We have carried out the first systematic X-ray survey of all the sdO stars brighter than V=12 using the *Chandra* satellite. The upper limits for the 16 undetected sources do not exclude that the average relation between X-ray and bolometric luminosity derived for more luminous O stars also extends to the subdwarfs class. Thanks to the detection of BD+37° 1977, Feige 34, and possibly BD+28° 4211, we more than doubled the number of sdO stars seen in the X-ray band. BD+37° 1977 belongs to the subclass of luminous He-rich sdO, like the only two previously known X-ray emitting sdO, and, like these, it might have a compact companion. Feige 34 and BD+28° 4211 are instead compact sdO stars, the first of this kind to be detected in the X-ray band. Deeper X-ray observations are required to assess whether these three sdO stars contain compact accreting objects, or if their X-ray emission is intrinsic and due to shock-heating processes in the wind as is typical of more luminous early-type stars. In addition, more optical/IR studies are also required to exclude the presence of late-type companions that might contribute to the observed X-ray flux.

Acknowledgements. The scientific results reported in this article are based on observations made by the Chandra X-ray Observatory. This research has made use of software provided by the Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) in the application package CIAO. We acknowledge financial contributions by the Italian Space Agency through ASI/INAF agreement I/032/10/0.

[5] The only exception is LSS 1275, which is characterized by a large uncertainty on both its flux and distance.

Table 2. Main parameters of the observed targets. The bibliographic reference of the reported values is given in parenthesis.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)
Name	d	V	$T_{\rm eff}$	log(g)	BC	log($L_{\rm bol}$)	CR	$f_{\rm X,1}$	$f_{\rm X,2}$	$L_{\rm X,1}$	$L_{\rm X,2}$	log($\frac{L_{\rm X,1}}{L_{\rm bol}}$)
-	(pc)	(mag)	(kK)	(cm s^{-2})	(mag)	($L_\odot$)	($\times10^{-3}$ c s^{-1})	($\times10^{-14}$ erg cm^{-2} s^{-1})		($\times10^{29}$ erg s^{-1})		
BD+75° 325	136^{+20}_{-16} (3)	9.55 (4)	55 (9)	5.5 (9)	–4.76	2.21	<1.6	<2.7	<1.2	<0.6	<0.3	<-7.05
BD+25° 4655*	110^{+16}_{-13} (3)	9.69 (2)	41.7 (2)	6.7 (2)	–3.94	1.64	<2.2	<3.6	<1.7	<0.5	<0.2	<-6.53
BD–22° 3804	185^{+53}_{-34} (3)	10.03 (2)	42.5 (10)	5.25 (10)	–4.00	1.98	<1.5	<2.4	<1.1	<0.9	<0.4	<-6.59
BD+37° 1977*	2600 (1)	10.15 (19)	48 (1)	4 (1)	–4.36	4.37	$3.6^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$	$5.9^{+1.8}_{-1.5}$	$2.7^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	450^{+140}_{-110}	210^{+60}_{-50}	–6.30±0.12
BD+39° 3226	235^{+88}_{-50} (3)	10.18 (2)	45±5 (11)	5.5±0.5 (11)	–4.15	2.19	<1.4	<2.4	<1.1	<1.5	<0.7	<-6.60
BD–03° 2179	631_{-318} (3)	10.33 (2)	62±5 (5)	4.5±0.5 (5)	–5.12	3.38	<3.2	<5.2	<2.4	<23	<11	<-6.59
BD+28° 4211	92^{+14}_{-11} (3)	10.51 (4)	82±5 (12)	$6.2^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ (12)	–5.95	1.96	$1.8^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	$2.9^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$	$1.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$	0.3±0.1	$0.13^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$-7.10^{+0.16}_{-0.21}$
CD–31 4800	132^{+34}_{-10} (3)	10.52 (2)	44±1 (10)	5.4±0.3 (10)	–4.10	1.53	<1.5	<2.5	<1.2	<0.5	<0.2	<-6.42
BD+48° 1777*	163^{+65}_{-36} (3)	10.74 (5)	40 (5)	-	–3.82	1.52	<2.3	<3.8	<1.7	<1.1	<0.5	<-6.04
LS V +22 38*	180*	10.93 (6)	40 (12)	-	–3.82	1.53	<2.3	<3.8	<1.7	<1.4	<0.6	<-5.96
LS IV –12 1	400±150 (7)	11.16 (2)	60 (2)	4.5 (2)	–5.02	2.61	<1.4	<2.4	<1.1	<4.3	<2.0	<-6.56
Feige 34*	325^{+540}_{-125} (3)	11.18 (4)	70±10 (5)	7.3±0.4 (5)	–5.48	2.60	$4.6^{+1.2}_{-1.0}$	$7.5^{+2.0}_{-1.6}$	$3.4^{+0.9}_{-0.7}$	$17.0^{+4.4}_{-3.7}$	$7.8^{+2.0}_{-1.7}$	$-6.23^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$
LSE 153	250±100 (7)	11.36 (2)	70.0±1.5 (2)	4.75±0.15 (2)	–5.48	2.30	<3.0	<5.0	<2.3	<3.6	<1.6	<-6.34
LSS 1275	<1000 (8)	11.37 (2)	75±4 (2)	5.0±0.2 (2)	–5.69	3.59	<10.7	<17.5	<8.0	<200	<90	<-5.87
LSE 263	250±100 (7)	11.55 (19)	70.0±2.5 (2)	4.90±0.25 (2)	–5.48	2.23	<3.8	<6.2	<2.8	<4.4	<2.0	<-6.17
BD+18° 2647	275^{+450}_{-105} (3)	11.63 (19)	75±5 (10)	5.2±0.2 (10)	–5.69	2.36	<2.3	<3.8	<1.7	<3.2	<1.5	<-6.44
LSE 21	50 (7)	11.64 (19)	110±10 (2)	-	–6.82	1.33	<1.5	<2.4	<1.1	<0.07	<0.03	<-7.08
LS IV +10 9*	230±100 (7)	12.05 (2)	45 (13)	5.6 (13)	–4.17	1.43	<1.5	<2.4	<1.1	<1.4	<0.7	<-5.86
LS I +63 198*	200*	12.80* (20)	34±7 (2)	5.4±0.3 (2)	–3.33	0.67	<1.8	<3.0	<1.4	<1.4	<0.6	<-5.13
HD 49798	650±100 (14)	8.29 (4)	46.5 (15)	4.35 (15)	–4.27	4.15	-	7.3±0.7* (16)	66±1* (16)	35±3	315±5	–7.21±0.05
BD+37° 442	2000^{+900}_{-600} (10)	10.01 (17)	48 (1)	4.00±0.25 (10)	–4.36	4.40	-	-	2.6±0.3* (18)	-	120±14	-

Key to table: (a) source name (* sources with an infrared flux excess detected at a confidence level higher than 2 σ (Thejll et al. 1995; Ulla & Thejll 1998)); (b) source distance (* assumed distance); (c) apparent visual magnitude (* LS I +63 198 was included in our sample based on the brighter magnitude provided by Østensen (2006)); (d) surface effective temperature; (e) surface gravity; (f) Bolometric Correction; (g) bolometric luminosity; (h) detected count rate (or 3-σ upper limit for undetected sources); (i) flux in the energy range 0.2-10 keV, based on the measured count rate or count-rate upper limit, assuming a power-law spectrum (* measured flux during source eclipse); (j) flux value in the energy range 0.2-10 keV, based on the measured count rate or count-rate upper limit, assuming a power-law plus blackbody spectrum (* measured flux, out of source eclipse for HD 49798); (k) estimated luminosity, based on $f_{\rm X,1}$; (l) estimated luminosity, based on $f_{\rm X,2}$; (m) estimated value of X-ray/bolometric luminosity ratio, based on $f_{\rm X,1}$

References: 1 - Jeffery & Hamann (2010); 2 - Østensen (2006); 3 - van Leeuwen (2007); 4 - Landolt & Uomoto (2007); 5 - Thejll et al. (1995); 6 - Høog et al. (1998); 7 - Schonberner & Drilling (1984); 8 - Rauch et al. (1991); 9 - Lanz et al. (1997); 10 - Bauer & Husfeld (1995); 11 - Rodríguez-López et al. (2007); 12 - Latour et al. (2013); 13 - Ulla & Thejll (1998); 14 - Kudritzki & Simon (1978); 15 - Hamann (2010); 16 - Mereghetti et al. (2013); 17 - Landolt 1973; 18 - La Palombara et al. (2012); 19 - Høg et al. (2000); 20 - Reed (2003)

References

Bauer, F., & Husfeld, D. 1995, A&A, 300, 481
Brown, T. M., Sweigart, A. V., Lanz, T., Landsman, W. B., & Hubeny, I. 2001, ApJ, 562, 368
Charpinet, S., Fontaine, G., Brassard, P., et al. 2011, Nature, 480, 496
Geier, S., Heber, U., Podsiadlowski, P., et al. 2010, A&A, 519, A25
Green, E. M., Guvenen, B., O'Malley, C. J., et al. 2011, ApJ, 734, 59
Güdel, M., & Nazé, Y. 2009, A&A Rev., 17, 309
Hamann, W.-R. 2010, Ap&SS, 329, 151
Han, Z., Podsiadlowski, P., Maxted, P. F. L., Marsh, T. R., & Ivanova, N. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 449
Han, Z., Podsiadlowski, P., Maxted, P. F. L., & Marsh, T. R. 2003, MNRAS, 341, 669
Heber, U. 1986, A&A, 155, 33
Heber, U., & Jeffery, C. S. 1992, The Atmospheres of Early-Type Stars, 401,
Heber, U., Hirsch, H., Ströer, A., et al. 2006, Baltic Astronomy, 15, 91
Heber, U. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 211
Heber, U., Geier, S., Irrgang, A., et al. 2014, in press
Hirsch, H. A., Heber, U., & O'Toole, S. J. 2008, Hot Subdwarf Stars and Related Objects, 392, 131
Høg, E., Kuzmin, A., Bastian, U., et al. 1998, A&A, 335, L65
Høg, E., Fabricius, C., Makarov, V. V., et al. 2000, A&A, 355, L27
Iben, I., Jr. 1990, ApJ, 353, 215
Israel, G. L., Stella, L., Angelini, L., et al. 1997, ApJ, 474, L53
Jeffery, C. S., & Hamann, W.-R. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1698
Krautter, J., Zickgraf, F.-J., Appenzeller, I., et al. 1999, A&A, 350, 743
Kudritzki, R. P., & Simon, K. P. 1978, A&A, 70, 653
Landolt, A. U. 1973, PASP, 85, 661
Landolt, A. U., & Uomoto, A. K. 2007, AJ, 133, 768
Lanz, T., Hubeny, I., & Heap, S. R. 1997, ApJ, 485, 843
La Palombara, N., Mereghetti, S., Tiengo, A., & Esposito, P. 2012, ApJ, 750, L34
Latour, M., Fontaine, G., Chayer, P., & Brassard, P. 2013, ApJ, 773, 84
Lucy, L. B., & White, R. L. 1980, ApJ, 241, 300
Massey, P., & Gronwall, C. 1990, ApJ, 358, 344
Maxted, P. f. L., Heber, U., Marsh, T. R., & North, R. C. 2001, MNRAS, 326, 1391
Mereghetti, S., Tiengo, A., Esposito, P., et al. 2009, Science, 325, 1222
Mereghetti, S., Campana, S., Esposito, P., La Palombara, N., & Tiengo, A. 2011, A&A, 536, A69
Mereghetti, S., La Palombara, N., Tiengo, A., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A46
Morales-Rueda, L., Maxted, P. F. L., Marsh, T. R., Kilkenny, D., & O'Donoghue, D. 2006, Baltic Astronomy, 15, 187
Napiwotzki, R., Karl, C. A., Lisker, T., et al. 2004, Ap&SS, 291, 321
Napiwotzki, R. 2008a, Hydrogen-Deficient Stars, 391, 257
Napiwotzki, R. 2008b, Hot Subdwarf Stars and Related Objects, 392, 139
Nazé, Y. 2009, A&A, 506, 1055
Østensen, R. H. 2006, Baltic Astronomy, 15, 85
Pallavicini, R., Golub, L., Rosner, R., et al. 1981, ApJ, 248, 279
Rauch, T., Heber, U., Hunger, K., Werner, K., & Neckel, T. 1991, A&A, 241, 457
Reed, B. C. 2003, AJ, 125, 2531
Rodríguez-López, C., Ulla, A., & Garrido, R. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1123
Saio, H., & Jeffery, C. S. 2000, MNRAS, 313, 671
Saio, H., & Jeffery, C. S. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 121
Schonberner, D., & Drilling, J. S. 1984, ApJ, 278, 702
Sciortino, S., Vaiana, G. S., Harnden, F. R., Jr., et al. 1990, ApJ, 361, 621
Soker, N. 1998, AJ, 116, 1308
Stickland, D. J., & Lloyd, C. 1994, The Observatory, 114, 41
Thackeray, A. D. 1970, MNRAS, 150, 215
Thejll, P., MacDonald, J., & Saffer, R. 1991, A&A, 248, 448
Thejll, P., Ulla, A., & MacDonald, J. 1995, A&A, 303, 773
Ulla, A., & Thejll, P. 1998, A&AS, 132, 1
Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, ApJ, 460, 914
van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653
Zanin, C., & Weinberger, R. 1997, Planetary Nebulae, 180, 290